

## Even Cycles

We would like to determine the extremal number of the even cycle  $C_{2k}$ . Let us first begin with a probabilistic construction<sup>1</sup> of a  $C_{2k}$ -free graph.

**Theorem 1.**

$$\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}.$$

That is, there exists an  $n$ -vertex  $C_{2k}$ -free graph with  $\frac{1}{8}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}$  edges.

*Proof.* The construction is probabilistic with the deletion method. Consider the probability space of random graphs with edge probability

$$p = n^{1/(2k-1)-1}.$$

**1:** Finish the proof by counting the expected number of edges. Then an upper bound on the expected number of cycles of length  $2k$ . By considering their difference, conclude the theorem holds.

**Solution:** The expected number of edges is

$$\binom{n}{2}p \geq \frac{1}{4}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}.$$

The expected number of cycles  $C_{2k}$  is

$$\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-2k+1)}{4k}p^{2k} \leq \frac{1}{4k}n^{2k}p^{2k} = \frac{1}{8}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}.$$

Let  $X$  be the random variable defined by the number of edges minus the number of copies of  $C_{2k}$ . Thus, by linearity of expectation we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \frac{1}{8}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}.$$

By the probabilistic method, there exists a graph  $G$  with  $X \geq \mathbb{E}[X]$ . If we remove an edge from each  $C_{2k}$  in  $G$  we are left with an  $n$ -vertex  $C_{2k}$ -free graph with  $e(G) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^{1+1/(2k-1)}$ .

□

The upper-bound (which is widely believed to be correct) is from Bondy-Simonovits.

**Theorem 2** (Bondy-Simonovits<sup>2</sup>, 1974). *For  $k$  natural, there is  $c$  (depending on  $k$ ) such that*

$$\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) \leq cn^{1+1/k}.$$

The proof will require several intermediate lemmas. A **theta graph** is a cycle with an extra edge (**chord**). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets of vertices in a graph. An  **$AB$ -path** is a path that begins in  $A$  and ends in  $B$ . Recall that the **length** of a path is the number of edges (i.e., one less than the number of vertices).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H$  be a cycle with a chord and let  $A, B$  be a partition of vertices of  $H$  with at least one crossing edge (i.e., a non-trivial bipartition), then either  $H$  contains an  $AB$ -path of every length or  $A, B$  is a proper 2-coloring of  $H$ .*

<sup>1</sup>An explicit construction due to Lazebnik, Ustimenko, and Woldar gives an exponent of  $1 + 2/(3k - 3)$ .

<sup>2</sup>The best-known constant ( $80\sqrt{k \log k} + o(1)$ ) is due to Bukh and Jiang.

*Proof.* Consider  $A, B$  as a 2-coloring of  $H$  and for a vertex  $x$ , let  $\chi(x)$  be the color class of  $x$ . Let the vertices of the cycle be  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and the chord be the edge  $0, r$ .

Suppose  $\ell$  is minimal such that there is no  $AB$ -path of length  $\ell$  on the cycle, i.e.,  $\chi(x) = \chi(x + \ell)$  for each vertex  $x$  (and addition is modulo  $n$ ).

**2:** Show that  $\ell > 1$ , consider  $d = \gcd(\ell, n) = s\ell + tn$  for integers  $s$  and  $t$  and use it to conclude that  $d = \ell$ ,  $\ell|n$ , and that for every value that is NOT a multiple of  $\ell$ , there is an  $AB$ -path on the cycle.

**Solution:** Note that  $\ell > 1$  (as otherwise the partition is trivial). Then if  $d = \gcd(\ell, n)$ , there exist integers<sup>3</sup>  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $d = s\ell + tn$ . This implies that there is no  $AB$ -path of length  $d$ , so  $\ell = d$  by minimality. Therefore,  $\ell|n$ . By the same reasoning, we get that for every value that is NOT a multiple of  $\ell$ , there is an  $AB$ -path on the cycle (and thus in  $H$ ).

If  $r < \ell$ , then  $k\ell + (r-1)$  is not a multiple of  $\ell$ .

**3:** Therefore there is an  $AB$ -path of length  $k\ell + (r-1)$  on the cycle. Use it (shifted) with the chord to find an  $AB$ -path of length  $\ell$ .

**Solution:** By shifting the endpoints in increments of  $\ell$  we can get that the chord is between two vertices on the path. Replacing  $r$  edges of the path with the chord gives an  $AB$ -path of length  $k\ell$ . That is, there is an  $AB$ -path using the chord of every length a multiple of  $\ell$ .

Now let  $r \geq \ell$  and assume that the following paths are not  $AB$ -paths for all  $0 \leq j < \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} & -j, -j+1, \dots, 0, r, r-1, \dots, r-\ell+j+1 \\ & \ell-j, \ell-j-1, \dots, 0, r, r+1, \dots, r+j-1 \end{aligned}$$

**4:** Investigate which (end points) need to have the same  $\chi$ . Finally, conclude that  $\ell = 2$  and finish the proof with it.

**Solution:** Therefore,  $\chi(-j) = \chi(r-\ell+j+1)$  and  $\chi(\ell-j) = \chi(r+j-1)$ . Furthermore, observe that  $\chi(-j) = \chi(\ell-j)$ . This implies that  $\chi(r+j+1) = \chi(r-\ell+j-1) = \chi(r+j-1)$ . This holds for all  $0 \leq j < \ell$  so we can extend this pattern to the rest of the cycle, i.e.,  $\ell = 2$ . Therefore the cycle is even. Furthermore, if the endpoints of the chord are in different classes then we have a proper 2-coloring of  $H$  and if the endpoints of the chord are in the same class we can find  $AB$ -paths of all lengths.

<sup>3</sup>By Bézout's identity: if  $\ell$  and  $n$  non-zero, then there exists integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $\gcd(\ell, n) = s\ell + tn$ .

Finally, we are left with Now let  $r \geq \ell$ , and there is some  $0 \leq j < \ell$  such that one of the above paths is an  $AB$ -path. WLOG assume

$$-j, -j+1, \dots, 0, r, r-1, \dots, r-\ell+j+1$$

is an  $AB$ -path of length  $\ell$ .

**5:** Show existence of  $AB$ -path for every  $k\ell$ . What happens towards the end? Hint: extend the given path.

**Solution:** Then, extend the endpoints of this path in increments of  $\ell$  until further extension would reuse a vertex of the path. So, one endpoint is among the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, \ell$  and the other is among  $r+1, r+2, \dots, r+\ell$ . Therefore, of the  $n+1$  edges in  $H$ , there are at most  $2(\ell-1)$  not used by this  $AB$ -path, i.e., it is of length  $\geq n+1-2(\ell-1) = n-2\ell+1$ . However, because  $\ell|n$  we must have that the  $AB$ -path is of length  $n-\ell$ . From this  $AB$ -path of length  $n-\ell$  we can get every length  $k\ell$   $AB$ -path. □

Because every graph with  $dn$  edges (i.e., average degree  $2d$ ) contains a subgraph of minimum degree at least  $d$ , Bondy-Simonovits follows from

**Theorem 4.** *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree greater than  $2kn^{1/k}$ , then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2k}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose (to the contrary) that  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex  $C_{2k}$ -free graph with minimum degree greater than  $2kn^{1/k}$ . Recall that the **distance** between two vertices is the length (number of edges) of the shortest path between them. Let  $v$  be an arbitrary vertex of  $G$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , define  $V_i$  as the set of vertices at distance  $i$  from  $v$ .

**Claim 5.** *Neither the graphs on  $V_i$  nor the bipartite graphs on  $V_i, V_{i+1}$  contain a bipartite theta graph on at least  $2k$  vertices.*

*Proof.* Suppose, to the contrary, that the graph on  $V_i$  contains a bipartite theta graph on at least  $2k$  vertices. Denote the theta graph by  $H$  and let  $X, Y$  be a bipartition with  $X$  minimal.

First we perform a breadth-first search of  $G$  starting at  $v$ . That is, we assign labels  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in order to the vertices of  $G$  as follows. First label  $v$ , then label the neighbors of  $v$  (in any order). From this point on, choose the vertex with lowest label that has some unlabeled neighbors and label these neighbors (in any order). This procedure produces a natural spanning tree of  $G$ . In particular, for every vertex we keep the edge connecting it to its neighbor with lowest label. This is the breadth-first spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$ .

Let  $x$  be such that all vertices in  $X$  are descendants (in the spanning tree  $T$ ) of  $x$  and the distance  $\ell$  between  $x$  and  $V_i$  is minimal (such a  $x$  exists as  $v$  certainly has all of  $X$  as descendants). Let  $y$  be a child of  $x$  such that there is an element of  $X$  among the descendants of  $y$  (if  $y$  itself is an element of  $X$ , then the proof proceeds similarly). Let  $A$  be the descendants of  $y$  in  $X$  and  $B = (X \cup Y) - A$ , i.e.,  $A, B$  is a partition of  $H$ .

**6:** Finish the proof by finding a cycle of length  $2k$ .

**Solution:** If  $X - A$  is empty, then  $y$  would be the vertex of maximum distance from  $v$  as defined above. Thus,  $X - A \neq \emptyset$  and by minimality of  $X$  we must have an edge in  $B$ . Therefore, by the lemma from the previous lecture the bipartition  $A, B$  of  $H$  contains an  $AB$ -path of every length. In particular, there is an  $AB$ -path of length  $2k - 2\ell$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  be the endpoints. Clearly,  $a \in X$  and as the path is of even length,

we have  $b \in X$ . That is  $b$  is not a descendant of  $y$ . Thus, there are edge-disjoint paths (of length  $\ell$ ) from  $a$  to  $x$  and  $b$  to  $x$ . These three paths together form a cycle of length  $2k$ ; a contradiction.

The case when  $V_i, V_{i+1}$  contains a bipartite theta graph on at least  $2k$  vertices is similar; put  $X = H \cap V_i$ .  $\square$

The next claim is left as an exercise.

**Claim 6.** *If  $H$  is a bipartite graph with minimum degree  $\delta(H) \geq 3$ , then  $H$  contains a (bipartite) theta graph on at least  $2\delta(H)$  vertices.*

**7:** Bound the number of edges inside each  $V_i$  and the number of edges between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$ . Show that by the minimum degree condition,  $|V_{i+1}| > n^{1/k}|V_i|$  for all  $i$  and then finish the proof by showing  $|V_k|$  would be too large. Hint: Use that  $n^{1/k} > c \cdot k$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Solution:** Now let us establish bounds on the number of edges  $e(V_i, V_{i+1})$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$ . By the two claims, no subgraph of  $G[V_i, V_{i+1}]$  has minimum degree  $k$ , thus  $G[V_i, V_{i+1}]$  as average degree at most  $2k - 2$ . That is,

$$e(V_i, V_{i+1}) < (2k - 2)|V_{i+1}|.$$

Now observe that if  $V_i$  contains at least  $2k|V_i|$  edges, then it contains a bipartite subgraph with  $k|V_i|$  edges (Exercise ??). This subgraph has average degree  $2k$ , so it contains a (bipartite) subgraph of minimum degree  $k$  and the two claims give a contradiction. Thus, the number of edges contained in  $V_i$  is less than  $2k|V_i|$ . On the other hand, each vertex in  $V_i$  has degree greater than  $2kn^{1/k}$  in  $G$  and only has neighbors in  $V_{i-1}$ ,  $V_i$ , and  $V_{i+1}$ . Thus,

$$e(V_i, V_{i+1}) > 2kn^{1/k}|V_i| - 2e(V_i) - e(V_{i-1}, V_i) \geq 2kn^{1/k}|V_i| - 4k|V_i| - 2k|V_i|.$$

Clearly, for  $n$  large enough we have  $n^{1/k} > 6k$ , thus

$$e(V_i, V_{i+1}) > (2k - 1)n^{1/k}|V_i|.$$

Combining the two estimates for  $e(V_i, V_{i+1})$  gives

$$|V_{i+1}| > n^{1/k}|V_i|.$$

Repeatedly applying this bound and using the fact that  $|V_0| = |\{x\}| = 1$  gives

$$|V_k| > \left(n^{1/k}\right)^k = n$$

an obvious contradiction.  $\square$

**Open problem:** Construct an  $n$ -vertex  $C_8$ -free graph with  $\Omega(n^{5/4})$  many edges.